

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,644	11/06/2001	Kevin C. Hutton	GOLDENH.003A 9966		
20995	7590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE I	MARTENS OLSON & F	LE, LINH GIANG			
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN	<del>-</del>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, CA		3626			
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/007,644		HUTTON ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Linh-Giang		3626			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the o	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANISIONS OF	AILING DATE OF THIS of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event unication. tutory period will apply and will a will, by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION , however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this co ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 06 November 200	01.				
, <del></del>	•	tb)⊠ This action is no					
<i>,</i> —							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	·					
·		nnlication					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election red	uirement.				
•	· · · <del> ·</del>						
	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	,, , , , ,	• • •	•		-D 4 404(4)		
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P1	U-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>043002</u> .	TO-948) PTO/SB/08)	o) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da o) Notice of Informal Pa o) Other:	te	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/007,644

Art Unit: 3626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Notice to Applicant**

1. The communication is in response to the application filed 6 November 2001. Claims 1-11 are pending. Acknowledgement is made of the claim of benefit to U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/246,310 filed 6 November 2000.

## Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: improper reference number. On page 9, line 5, applicant refers to "database system 10" while referring to figure 2. However there is no corresponding feature in figure 2 only in figure 1. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,644

Page 3

Art Unit: 3626

4. Claims 1-2, 4, 8-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sloane (5,911,132).

- 5. As per claim 1, Sloane teaches a computerized integrated emergency medical transportation database system (Sloane; Abstract) having a demographic audit module (Sloane; Col. 7, line 60-63). The system comprises a medical emergency database configured to store clinical encounter information, patient demographic data, and transport information (Sloane; Col. 1, lines 48-59). It is further comprised of a demographic audit module in communication with the medical emergency database (Sloane; Col. 7, lines 60-64). The demographic audit module is configured to determine whether sufficient patent demographic data exists in the medical emergency database (Sloane; Col. 7, lines 60-64) and search other databases in an attempt to obtain missing demographic information (Sloane; Col. 8, lines 4-8).
- 6. As per claim 2, Sloane teaches the demographic audit module is additionally configured to document one or more attempts to obtain any missing demographic information (Sloane; Col. 7, lines 65-67).
- 7. As per claim 4, Sloane teaches the system additionally comprised of a billing module in communication with the medical emergency database, the billing module receiving data from the demographic audit module (Sloane; Fig. 4 and Col. 6, lines 54-61).

Application/Control Number: 10/007,644 Page 4

Art Unit: 3626

8. As per claim 8-9 and 11, Sloane discloses an integrated emergency medical transportation database system having a data accuracy filter module with the same limitations and features as the demographic audit module as disclosed in claims 1-2 and 4 and the reasons for rejection are incorporated herein.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 3, 5-7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sloane (5,911,132) in view of Iliff (6,725,209).
- 11. As per claim 3, Sloane fails to teach the demographic audit module is additionally configured to apply modifiable data collection rules associated with a payer type to determine whether sufficient patient demographic data exists in the medical emergency database. However, this feature is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art as evidenced by Illiff. In particular, Illiff teaches a "TEST" performed by the computer to verify if necessary items are present. Examiner interprets "TEST" to mean applying certain data collection rules to verify the sufficiency of the data. It would have been

Art Unit: 3626

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the "TEST" feature in Illiff to the system in Sloane with the motivation of providing high quality and consistent medical advice (Illiff; Col. 2, lines 62-63). In order to provide high quality medical advice one of ordinary skill in the art would add a feature such as the "TEST" in order to ensure there is sufficient data in the database.

12. As per claim 5, Sloane teaches a method of auditing demographic data in an integrated emergency medical transportation database system (Sloane; Col. 7, lines 60-64). Sloane teaches a method comprised of collecting at least clinical encounter information, patient demographic information and transport information into a medical emergency database (Sloane; Col. 1, lines 48-59), searching other databases in an attempt to obtain missing demographic information (Sloane; Col. 8, lines 4-8), and recording attempts to obtain missing demographic information (Sloane; Col. 7, lines 65-67).

However, Sloane fails to teach applying a modifiable data collection rules associated with a payer type to determine whether sufficient patient demographic data exists in the medical emergency database. This is the same feature is recited in claim 3 and the reason for rejection is incorporated herein.

13. As per claim 6, Sloane discloses a method where in the other databases are located within the emergency medical transportation database system (Sloane; Col. 6 line 54).

Application/Control Number: 10/007,644 Page 6

Art Unit: 3626

14. As per claim 7, Sloane discloses a method wherein other databases are external to the emergency medical transportation database system and can be accessed via a

network (Sloane; Col. 2 line 64 to Col. 3 line 16).

15. As per claim 10, the same feature of applying a data collection rule to determine whether sufficient data exists in the database is recited in claim 3 and the above reason for rejection is incorporated herein.

#### Conclusion

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not applied prior art teaches an electronic template medical records coding system (6,529,876); a system for and method of collecting and populating a database with physician/patient data for processing to improve practice quality and healthcare delivery (6,151,581); and a system for retrieval of information from data structure of medical records (6,438,533).
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh-Giang "Michelle" Le whose telephone number is 571-272-8207. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM 5PM, M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,644 Page 7

Art Unit: 3626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

...